

Distinguished Lecturer Program

Primary funding is provided by

**The SPE Foundation through member donations
and a contribution from Offshore Europe**

The Society is grateful to those companies that allow their professionals to serve as lecturers

Additional support provided by AIME



Society of Petroleum Engineers
Distinguished Lecturer Program
www.spe.org/dl



Hydraulic Fracturing of Horizontal Wells

- Realizing the Paradigm Shift that has been 30 years in Development



Dr. C. Mark Pearson
Liberty Resources LLC

Key Technologies

Horizontal Wells and Hydraulic Fracturing both stand as separate technologies that have had a significant impact on the petroleum industry and our ability to develop hydrocarbon resources.

The combination of the two technologies have resulted in an industry revolution:

- 17100 references to Horizontal Well Fracturing in the SPE/One Petro Database
- Over 60% of US drilling activity is drilling horizontal wells
- In 2011, Pressure Pumping Services became the largest single business segment in the Oilfield Services arena.



Outline

- Early Studies and Fracturing of Horizontal Wells
- Current Multi-Stage Completion Designs:
 - Cemented Vs Uncemented Liner / Casing
 - “Plug and Perf” Vs “Sliding Sleeve”
- Bakken Shale – Central Basin Development
- Current Developments / Changes in Completion Practices
- Conclusions


Horizontal Well Drilling

- The first recorded horizontal well was in Texon, Texas in 1929 and another in the Franklin Heavy Oil Field, Pennsylvania in 1944.
- Short radius wells were tested by ARCO in 1979-1982 in the Empire Abo Field, New Mexico.
- Offshore platforms and remote land locations (e.g. Alaska) required the development of directional drilling technology:
 - Downhole motors
 - Measurement While Drilling
 - Steerable assemblies
 - Logging While Drilling
- First Medium Radius Horizontal Well was drilled in the Austin Chalk in May 1985 by ARCO – the John G. Hubbard #1 in Rockwall, Texas; a 1500ft lateral with a 20°/100ft build rate.

Early Application of Horizontal Wells (1980's)

- To handle reservoir issues in some developments that were already using deviated wells – gas coning problems, unconsolidated formations, thin sands development.
- Opportunity to more effectively develop naturally fractured reservoirs – e.g. the Austin Chalk
- By the late-1980's industry was already testing the opportunity to combine the technologies of horizontal drilling and hydraulic fracturing
- Modern horizontal well drilling came of age at the end of the 1980's:
 - 257 horizontal well permits issued in the USA in 1989
 - Over 1000 permits in 1990.
 - API started tracking horizontal drilling in 1991

Reservoir Contact from Fracturing – Vertical Well




Openhole completion: $8\frac{3}{4}''$ hole diameter * 50 ft = **115 ft² of contact**

Cased hole completion: 4 spf, with 2 ft. penetration beyond cement
200 perf tunnels, $\frac{1}{2}$ inch diameter = **52 ft² of contact**

Fracture Stimulated Completion: 500 ft half-length
2 wings * 2 faces * 500 ft * 50 ft = **100,000 ft² of contact**

Hydraulic Fracturing can increase reservoir contact in a vertical well by ~1,000 fold!

Reservoir Contact from Fracturing – Horizontal Well




Openhole completion: 6" hole diameter * 50 ft = **7850 ft² of contact**

Cased hole completion: 1 spf, with 2 ft. penetration beyond cement
5000 perf tunnels, ½ inch diameter = **1310 ft² of contact**


Fracture Stimulated Completion: 500 ft half-length
20 Stages * 2 wings * 2 faces * 500 ft * 50 ft = **2,000,000 ft² of contact**

**Horizontal Well Hydraulic Fracturing increases Reservoir Contact Area
>10,000 fold over a conventional vertical well !**

The Resource Triangle




1983 Gulf R&D Study on Horizontal Wells



- Significant potential for increasing production rates and EUR by fracture stimulating a horizontal well in a tight gas sand
- Completion Problems:
 - Casing Centralization
 - Cement Displacement
- Stimulation Issues:
 - Fracture Re-Orientation
 - Fracture Extension/Growth

1980's Completion Design

- Primary application to fractured carbonate reservoirs
- Acid stimulation – bull headed into the lateral with a similar design to a vertical well acid treatment using diverter stages in an attempt to maximize contact area
- Attempts to pump propped fracture treatments took a similar approach






Early Multi-Stage Hydraulic Fractured Well



W M Schrock (26) #8 – Fractured July 7th 1987 12

Late 1980's/90's – Dan Field Redevelopment Danish North Sea; SPE 25049 (1992)






1990's – Emergence of Zonal Isolation Technology

- Fully cemented liners
 - Separate perforated intervals
- Wellbore Plugs
 - Sand
 - Gel
- External Casing Packers:
 - Hydraulic inflated packer ran on the outside of the casing
 - Typically set with either drilling mud or cement.




Kuparuk River Field, Alaska


SPE 36454 (1996)




Kuparuk: Use of Longitudinally Fractured Wells



Drill Site 3R Fault Map



Kuparuk: Use of Longitudinally Fractured Wells





Late 1990's – Horizontal Well Completion Options

1. Openhole “barefoot” completion – no stimulation or single stage acid
2. Uncemented slotted or pre-perforated liner with a single stage acid or frac treatment (with or without diverting materials).
3. Cemented liner / casing – multi-stage perforating with gel or solid plugs allowing multi-stage fracturing


Valhall Field Development

Norwegian North Sea; SPE 84392 (2003)




Valhall Field HFHW Development Results

Comparison of Acid and Proppant Fracturing



Late 1990's – Composite Plug Development




Wireline run below perforating tools

Standard equipment for 4-1/2" and 5-1/2" liners

Up to 12,500 psi differential pressure rating

Easily drilled out using either a workover rig or coiled tubing




Typical Gas Shale Cemented Liner Completion

- KISS principle of Completion Design (Keep It Simple Stupid)
- Use of “Plug and Perf” completion technique
- Multiple (10 to 15) Completion Stages per well
- Relatively large Slickwater stimulation treatments (200 to 500,000 lbs per stage)

Barnett Shale Horizontal Well Pilot

SPE 90051 (2004)





Mid-2000's – Development of Swell Packer Technology

- Bonded element to standard casing / liner pipe; oil or water swellable:




- Typically can withstand 5000 psi differential pressure




Uncemented Wellbore Schematic

Example 20 stage “Plug and Perf” Completion”


- Application in liquid-rich fractured reservoirs
- Propped Fracture Stimulation is typically run across 15 to 35 completion stages working from the toe of the well to the heel.



Mid-2000's: Ball Activated Sliding Sleeve Development




Sliding Sleeve Example




Uncemented Wellbore Schematic Example 20 stage “Sliding Sleeve” Completion”

- Application in liquid-rich fractured reservoirs
- Propped Fracture Stimulation is typically run across 10 to 40 completion stages working from the toe of the well to the heel.



Open Hole Multi-Stage Systems; SPE 135584 (2010)

Production of 3 wells with a cemented liner & plug and perf completion Vs. 13 wells in the same field with an openhole liner and sliding sleeves



2012 Current Multi-Zone Completion Techniques

Plug and Perf

Vs.

Sliding Sleeve

Cemented or uncemented

Uncemented

Unlimited # of zones

Typically limited to ~20 stages
(repeater port technology increases this)

Multiple perf clusters per stage

Typically 1 opening per stage
(multi-port technology is available)

Slickwater or Gelled Frac

Slickwater or Gelled Frac

Slow - Repetitive perforating and stimulation
phases to each stage (3 to 5 hours per cycle)


Fast – timing is driven by the
frac design (1–2 hours per cycle)

Estimated Usage:


100% of Gas Shale Completions
~70% of Oil Shale Completions

~30% of Oil Shale Completions


Bakken Oil Shale – Williston Basin




Williston Basin Geological Cross-Section



Bakken Lithofacies




Bakken Case Study: Structure & Development




1. Antelope Arch
2. Nesson & Billings Anticlines
3. Elm Coulee Field
4. Sanish / Parshall / Ross Fields
5. Central Basin


Central Basin Initial Well Results - 2006




Central Basin Initial Well Results: 2006




Central Basin Initial Well Results: 2006 & 2008




Central Basin Company B Well Results: 2008




Central Basin Company B Well Results: 2008



Central Basin Company B Well Results: 2008-2010




Central Basin Company A and B Initial Well Results: 2008-2010



North Dakota Rig-Count




North Dakota Production



.... and 3% Unemployment Statewide

Current Developments in Multi-Stage Horizontal Wells

- Further development of completion hardware
 - Repeater Ports
 - Multi-Entry Sleeves
 - Cemented Sleeves
- More / better measurement of what how much of the resource we are draining from each well
- A focus on pad developments:
 - Simultaneous operations
 - Simulfrac treatments




Pad Development – Horn River Basin, BC

SPE 140654 (2011)




Courtesy: Apache Corp.

Example MultiStage Microseismic Mapping at Horn River, BC



The Resource Triangle




Source: Wood Mackenzie

Conclusions

- Horizontal Drilling technology has been actively used for the past 30 years, but it is only in the last 5 to 10 years that we have seen the widespread application of multi-stage hydraulic fracturing of horizontal wells
- The reservoir productivity gains from multi-stage hydraulic fracturing of horizontal wells is causing a revolution in our industry
 - The number of horizontal rigs
 - The need for pumping services
 - The opportunity for economic exploitation of Unconventional Resources
- Today's Completion Engineer has a variety of completion tools and techniques which can be applied to effectively stimulate horizontal wells
- No one completion design fits all cases


The Technology Doesn't Always Work !!

Mississippian Lime Formation (OK) – 3 Stage Completion (2007)



- Event locations from all stages plot in the same general area
- Width: 1700 ft.
- Height: 500 ft.

What is the Reservoir Potential with an Optimal Stimulation?



Acknowledgements

- SPE Distinguished Lecture Program
- My family – particularly my wife Maria, and the staff of Liberty Resources.
- The many co-workers that I have worked with on various horizontal well projects throughout the past 30 years at Gulf, ARCO, Carbo Ceramics, Zavanna and Liberty Resources;
- Many industry colleagues and their companies who contributed ideas and material for this talk:
 - Apache Corp (Karl DeMong, George King)
 - ex-ARCO personnel (Ahmed Abou-Sayed, Von Cawvey, Jim Dech, Mike Haas, Frank Schuh, Mark Sheehan, Ryan Stramp)
 - Kuparuk River Field Owners (Gary Targac – ConocoPhillips Alaska)
 - Packers Plus (Josh Janey)
 - Peak Completions (Kerry Hatley)
 - Southwestern Energy (Karen Olson)
 - Spears & Associates (Richard Spears)
 - TAM International (Kendall Manning)
 - ex-UPRC personnel (Nathan Meehan, Santosh Verma)
 - Wood MacKenzie (Sid Sen)

Thank you !!!

Contact Information:
Mark.Pearson@LibertyResourcesLLC.com

Distinguished Lecturer Program

Your Feedback is Important

Enter your section in the DL Evaluation Contest by completing the evaluation form for this presentation :

Click on: [Section Evaluation](#)



Society of Petroleum Engineers
Distinguished Lecturer Program
www.spe.org/dl

